



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**DON D. CHA**  
**17225 W. 12TH AVE.**  
**GOLDEN CO 80401**

**COPY MAILED**  
**SEP 18 2007**  
**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Leland SHAPIRO : : DECISION ON PETITION  
Application No. 09/518,076 : :  
Filed: March 03, 2000 : :  
Attorney Docket No. SHAP-000200 : :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed March 13, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed October 18, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. A three (3)month extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on April 19, 2006.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the required reply in the form of an amendment; (2) the petition fee of \$750; and (3) the required statement of unintentional delay have been received. Accordingly, the reply to the final Office Action of October 18, 2005 is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due

date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 1648 for appropriate action on the concurrently filed amendment..

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michelle R. Eason at (571) 272-4231.



David A. Bucci  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions